94



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/806,916 03/22/2004		William R. Hancock	H00063261623	3884		
128	7590 05/30/2006			EXAMINER		
HONEYWELL INTERNATIONAL INC.				HSU, JONI		
101 COLUM	BIA ROA	ND .				
P O BOX 2245				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MORRISTOWN, NJ 07962-2245				2628		

DATE MAILED: 05/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/806,916	HANCOCK, WILLIAM R.	HANCOCK, WILLIAM R.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Joni Hsu	2628			

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

···	
THE REPLY FILED 15 May 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. $\boxtimes$ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of	
this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which	
places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or	
(3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the	
following time periods:	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no	
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37	
CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b)	,
above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any	
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	
NOTICE OF APPEAL	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date	
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	
Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).	
AMENDMENTS	
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for	
appeal; and/or	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: see attached sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
5. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling	
the non-allowable claim(s).	
The non-allowable claim(s). 7. $\boxtimes$ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) $\boxtimes$ will not be entered, or b) $\square$ will be entered and an explanation of	
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: 1-28.	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered	
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary	
and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be	
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a	ı
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.	
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11. 🛛 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:	
see attached sheet.	
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	
13. Other:	

ÚLKA CHAŬĤAN SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Art Unit: 2628

Applicant argues that a linear transformation of a non-linear, gamma corrected R'G'B' color space results in a YCbCr color space that is also non-linear (page 10).

In reply, the Examiner disagrees. "Linear transformation" means that the color space is transformed to a linear space, and therefore a linear transformation of a non-linear, gamma corrected R'G'B' color space results in a YCbCr color space that is linear ([0018] in Barilovits (US 2002/0145610)).

Applicant argues that the linear-output gamma translator does not convert the received image data into a higher bit representation (page 11).

In reply, the Examiner disagrees. Barilovits describes that a RGB15 format, which is non-linear, is converted from five and six-bit components into 8-bit values [0134], then from R'G'B' 888 color space, which is also non-linear [0232], into YCbCr color space, which is linear. YCbCr also has 8-bit values [0018]. Therefore, the linear-output gamma translator converts the received image data from five and six-bit components into 8-bit values, which is a higher bit representation.